

EFFECT OF THE SULPHONE GROUP IN HYPNOTICS

Ethylsulphonylmalonic Ester (I). Diethylmalonate (30 g.) was added gradually with stirring to sodium wire (2.3 g.) covered with ether (150 ml.), the whole being heated on a water-bath under a reflux condenser. Slow addition of ethanesulphonyl chloride (10 g.) dissolved in ether (50 ml.) commenced after all the sodium had disappeared (1½ hours), stirring and refluxing being continued during the addition and for a further 7 hours until the mixture became neutral to litmus. Water was then added, the mixture acidified, the ethereal layer separated and the aqueous layer repeatedly washed with ether. The mixed ethereal solutions were dried over calcium chloride, the ether removed, and the residue distilled *in vacuo*.

Ethyl-ethylsulphonylmalonic ester (II) was prepared by the same procedure as I using ethylsulphonylmalonic ester (30 g.) in place of malonic ester and ethyl iodide (20 g.) in place of ethylsulphonyl chloride.

Diethylsulphonyl-malonic ester (III) was prepared by the same procedure as I using ethylsulphonylmalonic ester (30 g.) in place of the malonic ester.

All the esters occurred in the form of pale yellow oils soluble in ether and organic solvents, insoluble in water and showing a sp. gr. greater than 1. Table I gives their constants.

TABLE I

Ester	Boiling point	Sp. Gr. at 20°C.	Sulphur found	Content required	Yield
					per cent.
I	145 to 147°C./5 mm. Hg	1.36	12.68	12.7	48
II	160 to 163°C./5 mm. Hg	1.42	11.40	11.43	42
III	172 to 174°C./5 mm. Hg	1.48	18.41	18.6	29

Condensation with Urea.

5-Ethylsulphonylbarbituric Acid (IV). Sodium (5.1 g.) was dissolved in absolute methyl alcohol (120 ml.). To the solution of 1 ml. of ethyl acetate was added followed, after 10 minutes at 60°C., by urea (12.7 g.). When the urea had dissolved, ethylsulphonylmalonic ester (15 g.) was added and the apparatus transferred to an oil-bath. A condenser for distillation and a sealed stirrer were fitted. The temperature of the reaction mixture was gradually raised to 130°C., in the course of 3½ hours, then the viscous mass was treated with ice and ice-cold water; when the bulk of the melt had dissolved, the alkaline solution was treated with 20 ml. of benzene, then rendered distinctly alkaline to congo red with hydrochloric acid, concentrated and allowed to crystallise. The crude barbituric acid was recrystallised from an acetone-benzene mixture.

5:5-Diethylsulphonyl-barbituric acid (V). The above procedure was

applied using diethylsulphonemalonic ester (21 g.) in place of the monoethylsulphonemalonic ester. The product was recrystallised from 95 per cent. alcohol.

5-Ethyl-5-ethylsulphonylbarbituric acid (VI). (a) The same procedure was applied using ethyl-ethylsulphonylmalonic ester; the crude product was crystallised from 85 per cent. alcohol. (b) 5-Bromo-5-ethylbarbituric acid⁷ (2.7 g.) and sodium ethanesulphinate⁸ (1.2 g.) were dissolved in absolute methyl alcohol (20 ml.) and the mixture refluxed for 8 hours. The precipitated sodium chloride was filtered and the filtrate concentrated and allowed to crystallise. The product was identical with that above as confirmed by a mixed melting-point.

All the barbituric acid derivatives obtained were crystalline solids soluble in ether, alcohol and dilute alkalis; some of their constants are shown in Table II.

TABLE II

Acid	Melting point	Yield per cent.	Analyses			
			Nitrogen		Sulphur	
			Found	Required	Found	Required
IV	145 to 146°C.	27	12.74	12.73	14.46	14.55
V	156 to 157°C.	41	8.96	8.97	20.28	20.26
VI	163 to 164 C.	44	11.31	11.29	12.87	12.90

REFERENCES

1. Fourneau, *Organic medicaments and their preparation*, Churchill, London, 1921, 49.
2. Bauer and Jenkins, *J. Amer. pharm. Ass.*, 1937, **26**, 486.
3. Bodendorf and Singer, *Ber. dtsh. chem. Ges.*, 1939, **72**, 571.
4. D'ouville, Myers and Conner, *J. Amer. chem. Soc.*, 1939, **61**, 2033.
5. Hemillian, *Liebig's Ann.*, 1873, **168**, 146.
6. Gerhardt and Chanal, *ibid.*, 1882, **183**, 434.
7. Fisher and Dilthey, *ibid.*, 1904, **335**, 357.
8. Liefert, *ibid.*, 1822, **114**, 142.

DISCUSSION

The paper was read by Dr. Said.

The CHAIRMAN said that the Conference would welcome this contribution on synthetic work.

DR. HARTLEY (London) asked, firstly, if the introduction of the ethylsulphone group modified in any way the dissociation of the barbiturate portion of the molecule; that is, was the sodium salt formed readily hydrolysed or comparatively neutral? Secondly, if the introduction of

EFFECT OF THE SULPHONE GROUP IN HYPNOTICS

the ethyl-sulphone groups modified the way in which the molecule could be broken down by acid hydrolysis?

MR. D. E. SEYMOUR (Welwyn) asked what methods were used to make compound III. The combination of sulphones with the barbiturate would be a most difficult problem, and the compound probably would not have any value in this particular field. It was a sulphonamide, and might have quite different properties. Had Dr. Said examined his compounds for biological activity other than hypnotic value?

DR. K. BULLOCK (Manchester) asked if Dr. Said could tell them a little about the method of testing hypnotics. In relating constitution to physiological action, the method of testing was important. He asked whether the decreasing activity with increasing number of sulphone groups could be related to such properties as decreasing solubility, or change of pH .

DR. R. E. STUCKEY (London) said he could not quite follow Mr. Seymour's remark about compound III being essentially a sulphonamide, and would like that explained. It was interesting that the compound, with free hydrogen in position 5, showed no activity; with such a compound, the molecule was more acidic, and had a considerably lower K_A value.

DR. F. SAID, in reply, said that with one ethylsulphone group the compounds were more soluble in liquids than in water, and with two ethyl-sulphone groups the difference was even more marked. For testing the compounds dogs had been used, the relative activities being determined from the amounts needed to produce sleep. One group of dogs was given barbitone and another the compound to be tested, but he could not give details of the method as the test was done in the Pharmacological Department. Other biological activities had not been investigated. He agreed that it was desirable to investigate the germicidal activity, but doubted whether compound III could be described as a sulphonamide.